App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1934-35 (Inwards)

File No. 286-34

Serial Nos. 1-6

Mung 288-33-2

Later References.

Law 74-35-1-3

Mis 201-35-1-2

Mis Dep 274 35

Mis 18 36-1-2

Misc. 368-40-(1-7)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Part B for August 1935

SUBJECT.

Management of Sri Ramachandra Pantulu, Thirthahally Taluka

Cancelling that portion of the G.O. No 38 40 Mung 288 33 2 of 11 7-35 relating to the resumption of Sri Thirthahalli Peria it lands and confirming the remaining portion of the G.O.

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Serial No.

1

Petition [illegible]
from [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Requests that the [illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]

The prayer of the petitioner is two-fold.
(i) that the matter may be heard by Galt in the presence of the petitioner & his counsel, and that further enquiry, if necessary may be conducted;
(ii) that pending the disposal of the petition further proceedings may be stayed.

Regarding (i), the relevant papers on the subject are put up and the case will be noted upon, after issue of orders on Point (ii).

Regarding point (ii), a draft to the petitioner to submit a separate petition for a "Stay order" is put up.

13/8/34

The request for a stay order should be made thro' a Separate petn duly stamped - Draft submd for approval.

13/8/34

Secy)

13/8

13/8

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6 34

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat.

V

The Surgeon Commr. reported that the devotees of the mutt were complaining from about 1909 that the Ramachandrapuram mutt has been appropriating the income of the temples of Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy and Sri Viswesvaraswamy without looking to their repairs and without making proper arrangements for the conduct of customary services in them. and that they prayed that the mutt-maniyam devasthanam management of the institution which should be taken under Govt. management.

H. also reported that when a requisition from the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. B. T. Narasimhaiyar, to the Swamigalu to send the accounts for the past ten years was not honoured by the Swamigalu who only challenged the propriety of the Dy Commr. in calling upon him to produce the accounts the Dy. Commr. was therefore impressed that all is not well with the mutt and recommended the assumption of the management thereof by the Govt.

The M.C. called for a report in the matter from the present Dy. Commr. (Mr. T. V. A. Iswaran) who while stating that the mismanagement is continuing for a long time recommended that the Thirukkalikundram mutt the 400 temples and the inam lands may be taken away from the Ramachandrapuram etc.

The Senr. M.C. thereupon recommended that the Swamigalu may be divested of the management and that the same may be assumed by Govt.

Thereupon orders were passed as in the G. O. dated 11th July 1924.

No. 1418/24 The Law Deptt. may be consulted

1021/34
P

178

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

As to whether the petr was entitled to notice before orders were issued to the R.C.

14/8/34

JC Secy) 12 This may be done and the file sent once for ask with the legal opinion.

14/8

Asst Secy Law Dept within Compts

1/ 14/8

Law Mbr 15/8 'V'

In para 3 of the Mag. Commr's letter dated 9th May 1934, all the Inam endorsements are said to be registered in the name of the 2 deities in question, and the Swami of the Mutt being only a manager of property and the Commr claim rights of his own in the Inam lands of the temples. This Case therefore has to be brought under sections 17-18 of Regn VII of 29 and not under sec 26 & 27 as alleged in the Review petn. Sections 17 & 18 do not contemplate of any notice being given to before the order of resumption

53 Law

TSD 16/8 11 The temples being muzarai institutions and the Mutt being only a manager, the case is not one under secs 26 or 27 of Regn VII of 1927. The case it does not even fall under sections 17 & 18 if Chapter III is interpreted so as to refer only to public, religious & charitable institutions, which are not muzarai institutions. The case therefore strictly falls under

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0484—GPB—15,000—12 10-33

102'

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Chapter II and the Govt order so far as it relates to the temples & the property belonging to them cannot be challenged on the ground of the petitioner not receiving a notice or of there being no proper enquiry held.

2) But I doubt whether the same can be said of the order divesting the Swamiji of the management of the Nrtakali Mutt. A careful perusal of the Mur Comr's letter leading to the passing of this order indicates that though the D.C. Shimoga recommended the resumption of management of the Nrtakali Mutt, the Mur Comr sought orders only with respect to the management of the temples.

"3) If this view is correct, the order requires reconsideration, because before a Mathadhipati is divested of the management of a mutt & its properties, the procedure prescribed in Secs 26 & 27 has to be followed. The GO of 4-7-34 itself shows that no Committee was appointed and that even no report of such Committee was considered by ~~during the proceedings were not before~~ Govt.

Asst Secy mur
with Comps

MMP
20/8

53
Law
21/8/34

Serial No.

There are two points referred to in the Law Asst Secy's note at paras 14 – 16 above. The one relates to divesting the Swamiship of the (i) Mutt of the management of the two temples and the Inam lands attached thereto", and the other relates to divesting the Swamiship (ii) of the management of the Thirthabalii Mutt".

18 As regards (i) the legal opinion is to the effect that no notice is necessary to the parties (Mutt authorities) concerned.

19 As regards (ii). the Asst Secy Law states that the procedure prescribed in Secs 26 and 27 of the Regulation has to be followed before the Swamiship of the Mutt is divested of the management of the Mutt in question. i.e. an enquiry is to be held by a Committee to be appointed by Govt. and suitable orders passed on the report of the Committee.

20 He further states that the M.C sanctions orders only with respect to the management of the temples" and not of the Thirthabalii Mutt also which is included in the Govt Order dated 11.7.1934. If this view is accepted, the G.O will have to be modified by deleting the words "the Thirthabalii Mutt" both in the preamble and para 2 of the G.O.

21 Govt rule that the recommendations

L

Omit (i) for orders

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12 6-34

Review petition of Sri Ramachandrapuram Mutt

File No. of 1934. | General and Rev. Secretariat

of the M.C, contained in para 7 of his letter dated 9th May 1934 is not very clear on the point.

22. As regards the petitioner's prayer for a stay order, it is submitted that he has to submit a schedule petition duly stamped for this purpose, as required by Rule 25 of the High Court Rules & Practice following here and a draft reply is submitted.

23. Submitted for order with reference to paras 12, 20, and 22 above.

AT 29/5/34

In view of what the Advocate General's Law Department has stated at paras 15 & 16 in so far as the G.O. dt. 7.11.7.34 pertains to directing the Sri Ramachendrapuram Mutt of its management of Thirukalar Mutt, the order may be modified by deleting the words "the Mirasdar of the Mutt" both in the preamble and the body of the order. What the Advocate General says in regard to this is correct as the M.C's recommendation relating to the Mutt cannot be said to refer to the Thirukalar Mutt management. If approved an Erratum will issue. Draft for approval. I very much regret the mistake. It is strange that the M.C's office has not raised any objection till now.

As regards the management of the temples & their Inam lands, the Advocate General's Law Department is

re petition of Sri Ramaswamy Chettiar minor
Thirth others

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 1934 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Strength of opinion ~~that~~ vide para 14 supra that the p.o. cannot be challenged on the ground of mis-joinder no proper opposite party the petitioner not having asked. In this view it is for orders if it is necessary to call for records on the petition now submitted.

26 As regards ~~[redacted]~~ Stay order the request has to be made on a separate petition stamped as per Rule 25 of the High Court Rules of practice which are followed here. In case this case is going to be heard ~~and~~ on merits as per draft put up will be issued. But if the petition is to be disposed of by the grant of an order to the petitioner that first no reason to interfere with the orders already passed no separate Comm^r re Stay order seems necessary.

22/8/34

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 22 AUG. 1934 NO. 1326

Jt Secy)
2nd Mr)

22.8.34.

A. The Case may be heard The draft may issue. There orders in Murrai Cases should be more Case fully examined before final orders are passed

to the Karaikudi Instn

J/C
23/8

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12 6-34

Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Thirdadalli

File No. of 193 6. General and Re Secretariat

12 The petitioner's Advocate has since filed a separate petition for a stay order and the same is posted separately for orders today. Hence the draft to the Advocate will issue.

30/ The draft G.O in the file will issue if agreed.

31 No – Please see Members' views overleaf.

E 27/8

E 27/8

C. R.

No.

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT.

DC 25 AUG 1934 No 9001 2226

BANGALORE 25 AUG 34 G.T.D.

O H GOKARN 25 68

THE DEWAN SAHEB MYSORE STATE BANGALORE

LEARNING THE GOVERNMENT PASSED AN ORDER TO ASSUME M/

MANAGEMENT OF TIRTHAHALLI MATT AND ITS TWO TEMPLES WHICH

HAVE BEEN UNDER OUR MANAGEMENT SINCE MORE THAN LAST TWO CEN

TURIES AS PER ROYAL ORDER TO WHICH THE PRESENT ORDER IS CONT

REVIEW APPLICATION ALREADY FILED OBSERVING CHATURMASA VA-

STAM AT GOKARN THEREFORE PRAYING STAY ORDER

RAMCHANDRA BHARATI RAMACHANDRAPUR SO SWAMI

MATT NAGAR TALUKA CAMP GOKARN

This form must accompany any enquiry respecting this telegram.

GIPPAh.—2852—9-4-34—9,136.

C. R. BM 2 18/30

No

of order-



Before the Hon'ble T. Of M.M. [illegible] Chief Secretary, Bangalore.

Review application No. of 34-



Petitioner:-

Sri Ramchandrappa Mudly, ~~Pinchi~~ of Nasar ~~Pallli~~ by agent and power of attorney-holder S. [illegible], Timmalalli

In the matter of Order No. [illegible] dated Bangalore, 7/11th July 34.

The petitioner prays that pending the disposal of the Review petition presented to-day, which may form as part of this application, the stay of further proceedings including the delivery of possession of the properties and management of the Temples may be ordered.

P.V. Varadaramaiah
Advocate for
Petitioner.

[illegible]
Petitioner.

3) The main file relating to the subject was submitted to Second Member on 22.8.1934 and it was received back with orders today.

33 It appears a stay order will issue in this case. The II Member has ordered that the case may be heard. On the Main file that the case may be heard. Pending the hearing & disposal of the case the Petitioner may perhaps be expected for a day of order passed may perhaps be complied with.

25/8/34

II Member)

Serial No.

361 Two drafts submitted

2

3

4.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

27/188

Review petitions in Revenue Landscape

Tirukkalikkal

File No. 28b of 193 4 — General and e Secretariat

38 Secure Members order & put up in the p.p.

39) Note - S.P.R. 27/8

40 Submission of records connected with the review appeal case was called for in this office letter No. 2418 of 25/8/1934. Records are not yet received

D/s reminder sent — 41) Sme 2/11/9

D/s reminder No. 474 of 25.9.1934 to the Muzrai Commissioner requesting him to expedite the submission of records.

Letter No. C 265 of 25.10.34 from the Muzrai Commissioner 34-35 forwarding records connected with the appeal.

1. The P.U.D is a prayer for a review of G.O. No. 38-40/Muz 288-33-2 dt 1/7/34 directing the assumption of the management of Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami temple at Tirukkalikkal and Sri Vishwanatha Swami temple at Kuruvalli Tirukkalikkal Tk & the lands endowed thereto. This was done on the recommendation of the Asst. Commr. vide his letter No. C 1099/28-29 of 9.5.34

Serial No.

43 Kind reference is invited to the enclosures received with letter
dt 25/4/34 from
The Agent for Sri Rama Chandra Pura Mutt.
belonging to Haryaka Mandli
certain disciples of Tirthahalli Mutt have tendered an
Mug 288-33-2 apology to Sri Rama chandrapura Mutt That
owing to a mistaken notion they complained etc to
the authorities against the management
D. of the Sri Mutt & that they may therefore be
excused. It is also clear from this that
Tirthahalli Mutt is combined with Sri Rama-
Chandrapura Mutt.

k. xx It is also known from the
second enclosure that formerly the Swamigalu
of Sri Tirthahalli Mutt was initiated into
Sanyasasrama by the Swamigalu of Sri
Ramachandrapura Mutt & that the
Control of the Mutt, Narasimha temple
& the landed property endowed thereto
would rest with the Sri Ramachandrapura
Mutt.

45 From the third enclosure - Copy of
P 7 Nirupa of ಅಂಚೆ ಕಾರ್ಡು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫, ೪ - , it
is understood that Sri Ramachandrapura
has been authorised to manage the affairs
of Sri Tirthahalli Mutt & Sri Narasimha
-devaru temple.

H 46 From the fourth enclosure flagged H
it is understood that the Swamigalu of Sri Tirthahalli

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12 6-34

R Ramachandraya put. I

Mun File No. of 193 . | General and Rev Secretariat

Mun entrusted the Management of the affairs of Sri Narasimhadevaru & Viswesvaradevaru temples & the lands endowed therefor to Sri Ramachandrayya Mun in 1857 & that since then the latter has been managing the Temples & their properties.

(2) It is for the concerned appellant Mun to produce the originals of the enclosures specified in paras 43–46 supra. if called for for verification.

(3) The petr Mun is treated in the Inam records as the Vahivatdar of Sri Lakshmi Narasimhadevaru temple & the properties belonging to it. & also of Kuruvalli Kasi Viswesvaradevaru ---

The case has already been ordered to be heard; a stay order has also been issued.

It appd, this will be included in the list of cases to be heard after the 24th of this month. (Cases are selected for the date to which this may be posted.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 20 NOV. 1934 10-3-4-76

GS. 16/11/34 — 51 Orders are solicited re the date of hearing of this case — A detailed notice will be issued as usual before the date of hearing.

19/11/34

II Member)

may be posted to 2nd Feb 35 for

3 P.M.

21/11

1143 Revision 9 of 34-35

General and Revenue Secretariat 1143 File No. of 193 . [App. F.-III-2

Serial No.

15/3
The Case was called on Saturday the 2nd Feby. 1935. The review petitioner with his Counsel Mr. S. Vasudeva Moorthy was present and was heard. Order reserved.

JGR
2/2/35

ORDER.
***

x x The present application is for a review of the Government Order dated the 11th July 1934 which stated that Government sanctioned the recommendations of the Muzrai Commissioner to the effect that the Ramachandrapur Mutt may be divested of the management of the Tirthahalli Mutt, the two temples and the Inam lands endowed thereto and directed the same to be resumed by Government. So far as the resumption of the two temples and the Inam lands endowed to them is concerned, there have been no sufficient grounds put forward by the petitioner's Counsel to review the Government Order referred to. As regards the resumption of the Tirthahalli Mutt and lands appurtenant thereto, it is contended that no enquiry as that contemplated under Sections 26 and 27 of the Muzrai Regulation was made and that if further opportunities were given, the Ramachandrapur Mutt would attempt to show that there is no mismanagement. There is some force in this contention. The portion of the Government

Note—Contn. Sheet.

Mlg Review 9 of 1934-35

Mlg File No. 286 of 193 4 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Government Order relating to the resumption of the Thirthahalli Mutt and its lands is cancelled and the other portion of the Government Order relating to the temples is confirmed.

502/1917

JOR 19/7

1/7/19/7

~~Draft~~ submitted with a draft as per orders of the ~~Second~~ Member contained in para 54 of the notes.

24.7.35

56 Put up revised draft as instructed 24/7/35

57) Revised draft ~~not~~ as directed in para 56 —

G.S 30.8 30/7/7

59) JL 2/VII/7

No 86 dy 2-8-35 from K. Ananda Bhatta c/o Sri B. Vasudeva Moorthy Advocate, for the Revision petitioner forwarding copy of the decision in the case.

No 87 dy 2-8-1935 from the Mysore Commissioner forwarding copy of the order in the matter and requesting that the stay order issued in this office letter No 241 dy 25/8/34 is extended —

No 88 dy 2-8-1935 from the Superintendent

TYPIN C TION 29 JUL 1935 GENL & REV SECTT.

Mig Review of 1934-35

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 286 of 1934 — [App. F. III–2

Serial No.

forwarding copy of the order for information.

Note—Contn. Sheet.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 11 AUG. 1934 NO. 10-36

O RU EES

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 188 15 AUG 1934

286-34

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF H.E. THE RAJAMUNDRY ZILLA.

Review application No. 9 of 34-35.

Petitioner:-

Sri Ramachandraswami Puttu Nagar Bhattar-Sa-
mi, by agent and power of attorney holder, K. Ananthu Bhattar, Thuttuchalu

In the matter of order No. 33-40/ Jurai Edu-3-2 dated 7/11th July 1934.

The petitioner begs to state as follows:-

1. The petitioner has just come to know that Government have been pleased to pass orders on 7/11th July 1934 being No. 33-40/Jurai Edu-3-2 directing that the Swamivalu of Sri Ramachandraswami Puttu is to be divested of the management of the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams and the temples in the Tirupati hills and to be managed by Government. The petitioner submits that the said Devasthanams and Temples in Tirupati hills and the Sri Varu... Temple at Tirupati town will be seriously affected by the said orders and that it is necessary that the petitioner should be heard before any orders are passed in the matter. The petitioner submits that the said Devasthanams and Temples in Tirupati hills and the Sri Varu... Temple at Tirupati town have been in the possession and enjoyment of large extents of valuable lands both wet and dry from time immemorial. The petitioner submits that the said lands were dedicated to the said Devasthanams and Temples by pious devotees and that the petitioner's family has been in the hereditary management of the said Devasthanams and Temples for generations

and the endorsement dated 5th July 1933 herewith filed (ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ) which is sent to him, showing clearly how the petitioner's rights and capacity for management were recognised.

There is no proper or sufficient enquiry held before the Muzrai Commissioner recommended in such/ a manner in any way, regarding divesting of the Mutt of its long-standing and ancient rights. The petitioner was awaiting all along and expecting that he would be heard before recommendations were made by the Muzrai Commissioner or by the Government before final orders were passed in this matter, with a view to explain his position and meeting the charges levelled against him and his management: but he was granted no such opportunity. To his numerous applications made to the Muzrai Commissioner in this behalf he received endorsements that the matter is pending and two of them are herewith filed.. There has been no proper enquiry by any committee as required by sections 56 and 57 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation of 1.27 and no disciple or person interested in the Mutt was allowed to be in the said committee.

The petitioner therefore, humbly prays that the matter may be heard by Government at a very early date in the presence of the petitioner and his counsel, that further enquiry may be conducted in the matter if necessary and that pending the disposal of this application (i) the Government may be pleased to issue a stay order staying the further proceedings in the matter of divesting the petitioner of his management of the temples and the lands attached thereto. (ii)

B. Venkatarangaiya
Advocate for
Petitioner.

ವೆಂಕಟರಂಗಯ್ಯ
Petitioner.



In the Court of the Before the Court of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore

CASE No. OF 193 —193

Appellant, Plaintiff. *Respondent, Defendant.*

Sri Ramachandrappa
Major by age
& Another Bhatta

Vs.

1. ...I... the ...first... ...abovenamed do by these presents retain MR. B. VASUDEVAMURTHY, B.A., LL.B., *Advocate*, to appear in and conduct the above case on ... ...my... ...behalf to judgment.

2. ...I... further empower him to execute any decree or order that may be passed in ...my... behalf and to receive from Court all documents, refunds and sums of money as ... ...I... ...may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

3. ...I...also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done by him in so doing.

BANGALORE,
.... 10 ... 8 ... 1934.

ಶ್ರೀ ಭಾಗವತ್ ಈಶ್ವರಪ್ಪ

Accepted.

B Vasudevamurthy

Advocate for ...

Signed before me and personally known to me.

B Vasudevamurthy

Advocate.

THE POWER PRINTING WORKS, BANGALORE.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಸಾಗರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಹೊಸೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 49ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 3 ಎಕರೆ 20 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನು ನನ್ನ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಪಹಣಿ, ಕಂದಾಯ ರಸೀದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಅಡಿಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ತೆಂಗಿನ ತೋಟವಿದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಾಗರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಸುಮಾರು 12 ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ದೂರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಚ್ಚಾ ರಸ್ತೆ ಇದೆ. ಮಳೆಗಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ರಸ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಚರಿಸಲು ತುಂಬಾ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ರಸ್ತೆಯನ್ನು ಡಾಂಬರೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ವಿನಂತಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ವಂದನೆಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ,

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

29.7.33

Фонд архив № 43 оп 93132

Анатолий Вкатов

Биографический очерк

Ленинград

28.3.932

1435 / mug dt 21-2-28

Before the Court of His
Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore Bangalore

Review pcn

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 241/Accts 286-34-2 *Bangalore, Dated, 25th August 1934.*

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No.38-40/Muz.288-33-2 dated 11th July 1934 re- taking over to Government the management of the Thirthahalli Mutt, the two temples of Sri Lakshminarasimha Swami at Thirthahalli and Sri Kasi Visweswaraswami at Kuruvalli, and the inam lands endowed to the temples, I am directed to state that the Agent of the Sri Ramachandrapur Mutt at Thirthahalli has submitted to Government on the 18th August 1934, a petition for reviewing the above order and to request that pending disposal of this petition the further proceedings in the matter may be stayed.

I am also to request that the records connected with the case may kindly be sent to this office at an early date.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd. S. Shamanna

Financial Secretary to Government.

Memo No. 242/Muzrai dated 25th August 1934

Copy of above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, Shimoga for information and necessary action.

By Order,

Draft/ for Financial Secretary to Government.

G—1]

.....

.....

.....

Section Mez

File No. 286-34

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No.

To

The M.e in elleyara

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Sir,

With reference to the G.O

Date of issue ....

Ms 35-40/Mmes 255-33-2 dated 11.7.19

re taking over to

~~Govt the assumption by Govt~~

the management of the

Mutt, the two temples of Sri Lakshmi

varaha Swami at Thiruthakalli and

Sri Kapi Viswesvaraswami at Kumakalli,

and the inam lands endowed to

the temples, I am directed to

Signed by ....

state the Agent of the Sri Parasakthi

Mutt at Thiruthakalli has filed a

petition on the 15th August 1934,

List of enclosures ....

Copied by ..... Pan 25/9 ..... to Govt

praying for delivering the above mutt

to him and pending disposal of this

Examined by..... a petition

until further notice, the further proceedings in the matter may be stayed.

~~until further notice, in the matter~~

I am also to request that the records connected with the case may kindly be sent to this Office at an early date.

~~for reference and return~~

I have etc

25/5/34

For Secretary

Copy to the D.C. Shillong for information and necessary action.

B. O.

For Secretary

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated, 25th August 1934.

No. 243/Muzrai 286-34-3.

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

Mr.B. Vasudeva Murthy, B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate, Bangalore City.

Dear *Sir,*

With reference to the petition dated 18.8.1934, filed by you on behalf of your client, the Agent of the Sri Ramachandrapur Mutt at Thirthahalli, requesting that further proceedings as per Government Order No.3840/Muz. 238-33-2 dated 11th July 1934 may be stayed, I am directed to state that your request for a stay order is granted and necessary instruction issued to the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter

~~I have the honour to be,~~

Sir,

~~Your most obedient servant,~~
Yours faithfully

for Financial Secretary to Government.

UBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mesc

File No. 286-34

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No.

To

Mr B. Vasudeva Murty BA BL
Advocate
Bangalore City

General and Revenue Secretariat

the 193 .

Date of issue .....

Signed by .....

Sir

With reference to the petition dated 18.8.1934 filed by you on behalf of your client, the Agent of the Sri Ramachandra Mutt at Thiltahalli I am directed to state that your request for a copy of the proceedings cannot be granted as the same has been issued to the M.C. to show cause why necessary improvements should not be effected in the Mutt premises in the matter of the encroachment by the Mutt, and the matter is still under consideration.

I am, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government

Request for copy of proceedings as per P.S. may be issued.

M 28-40 / Mesc 288-34-2 11-7-34

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

~~and the same facts evidenced~~

~~by the same, as referred to~~

F.O 1038-40/Mem 288-33-2

Dated 11th July 1934

Yours etc

For the Secretary





BEFORE THE GOVT. OF H.H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE:

Misc 286 34 4

B A N G A L O R E.

In the matter of the Sri Ramachandrapur Mutt of Nagar Taluk and the Temples of Sri Narasimha devaru situated in Thirthahalli town and Sri Visveswara temple, Kuruvalli town, under the management of the said Mutt.

SECRETARIAT
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT & MEMBER OF COUNCIL.
27 AUG 1934
NO. 4660
GENL & REV SECT
245
30 AUG 1934

APPLICANTS:-

1. K.Venkatagiri Rao. Land Lord and Member Representative Assembly. Sagar.
2. M.Venkatagiri Rao. B.Sc.,LL.B. Land lard, Advocate, Sagar and R.A.member.
3. T.S. Venkappa. B.A.,LL.B. Land Lord, Advocate. Sagar.
4. H.Subba Rao. Land Lord and Merchant, Sorab.
5. K. Thimmappa. Land lord, Kandika, Sagar.

The applicants are disciplies of the aforesaid Mutt and are vitally interested in its well being.

Put up w P.P.
27/8

They learn that on an appln, by a few persons, opposed to the Mutt, an enquiry was started and on the reco mendation of the Muzrai Commr., Govt have been pleased to pass order on 11-7-34 in No.38-40, Muzrai. 288-33-2 directing that H.H. the Swami of Sri Ramachandrapur Mutt may be divested of the management of the temples and the entire inam lands endowed thereto assumed by Govt. The Said complaints were by a small handful of persons who were inimically disposed to the Mutt and who represent nobody but themselves. The large body of disciples of the mutt and devotees of the temples have no complaint or objection. As regards the maintenance or the management of the temples and submit that there has been no mismanagement, perticularly of any serious type involving the assing of such far-reaching and drastic order.

order. The large body of disciples of mutt who hold the Mutt and the Swamiji in great reverance have not been consulted nor their views collected before the deprivation of the Swamiji of the rights which the Swamy of the Mutt has been enjoying from time immemorial. The Mutt is a very ancient institution and its association with the temples is equally ancient. It will mean a great humiliation and loss of prestige, apart from the serious financial loss if the management by the mutt of the temples is taken away. It is respectfully submitted that the Govt may provide for a closer Supervision of the management for a few years either by itself or by the disciples of the Mutt or the devotees of the temple, whereby the proper management of the temple could be assured without the total deprivation of the management of the Mutt.

It is therefore, prayed that these applicants may be permitted to be present and heard when the Review Application is heard.

27-8-1984.
Bangalore

No. 509

Enc. one

GENL. & REV SEC 277 6-9-34

THE PALACE, MYSORE

28th August 1934.

15th September 34.

The petition, of which particulars are given below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is forwarded with compliments for favour of disposal.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial Department
Bangalore

4 SEP. 1934

By Order,

for Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 112 of 34-35

Tel from Sri Rama Chandra Bharati Swamiji, Camp, Gokarn regarding the management of Tirtale Mutt &c

Stay order has been obtained before Court for a hearing. In the meanwhile Receiver have been called for.

2) For orders if a reply to the Swamiji's letter has been sent.

3) Was not a similar telegram sent to the address of the Diwan. Please put up papers. 10/9

4) (May be) - The Assistant telegram received from The Swamiji's was addressed to the Dewan Sahib by name & not been sent to the petitioner. Instructions required in respect

13/9

5) A stay order was asked for in the telegram referred to which was issued on the same date

13/9

Is not the Advocate for the Swamiji's intimated?

14/9

7 Mr. B. Vasudeva Moorthy Advocate Trichinopoly
Application for grant of vacancy
has been informed that there are none.
(Vide No. 243 dt 25/8/1934
Mem No 1858 to Swaminathan
is necessary
1919

ARIAT, 23

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT





NOTICE.—This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay Rs. As Office Stamp

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date	Hour	Minute.	Service Instructions	Words
Bakan	24	6	55		61

TO

Reed here at 8 H 9A M

His Highness
Mysore Bangalore Mysore
Learning the government passed order
to assume management of the Chamarajendra
and its two temples which
have been under our control since
centuries

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Karim Bux Bros., Printers, Calcutta—562 (B-82)—21-7-32—1,00,000 Bks.

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

NOTICE. This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.	Charges to pay Rs.	As	Office Stamp.

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour	Minute	Service Instructions	Words
		4			

Recd here at H M

returned) according to interim order
to which the present order is
contrary review application already
filed observing Chaturmasik duration
at Tehsil praying therefore
stay order - Ramachandra

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Karim Bux Bros., Printers, Calcutta—562 (B-82)—21-7-32—1,00,000 Bks.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

No. 474 Mez

Dated, Bangalore 24th Sept. 1934. 25-a

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to para 2 of this office letter No.241 dated 25th August 1934 calling for the submission of records connected with the review petition filed by the Agent of Sri Ramachandrapur Mutt, Thirthahalli re: the management of temples and the Inam lands endowed therefor and to request you to kindly expedite the forwardal of the same.

Yours sincerely,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

To

N.Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Section MM3

File No.

Serial No.

No. 474 Imc

To

The M.C.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 25/8/34.

Signed by Meddukrishnappa

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to para 2 of this office letter No 241 of 25/8/34 calling the Jubs records connected with the appeal review petn. filed by the Agent of Sri Ramachandra Mutt, Tekkatte re: the Mgt of temples & the Inam lands endorse thereon for and to receive from you to enable to expedite the finalisation of the same.

James Bird

2119

for Chief Sec

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

GS 2095

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

No.C265 34-35 OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYS

Encl 2 Files

Bangalore 24th October 1934

25

FROM Muz. Br

25/10

N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To Rajasevasakta
R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*

Mej 286 34 - 5

.... ~~XXXXXXXX~~

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.241/Muz.dated 25th - August 1934 calling for the records re the assumption of the management of the Thirthahalli Mutt, the two temples and the inam lands endowed to the temples, I have the honour to forwa. herewith the entire file of records received with the Shimoga Deputy Commissioner's letter No.Muz.1.C.381/34-35 dated 5th - October 1934 together with this office file R.Dis.No.13/34-35 dated 23rd July 1934 wherein the facts of the case are fully explained.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G. Rudrappa
Senior Asst Comr
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from Hd quarters)

884

CHIEF SECRETARY
1 FEB. 1935

Memo No 1984-35

To the Secretary to Government,
Public (Confidential) Department,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith a petition dated 1st January 1935 addressed to the Secretary of State for India in Council by Thiru Kalli, a member of the Nagarathar Community of the Madura District, praying that he may be appointed to the Executive Council of Fort St. George.

2. The petitioner has been a member of the Madras Legislative Council since 1930 and has been a Minister since 1932. He has been a Minister for a longer period than any other Minister in office at present. He is a member of the Nagarathar Community which is a wealthy and influential community. He has been a Minister for a sufficiently long period to have gained experience of the work of a Minister. He has been a member of the Madras Legislative Council for a sufficiently long period to have gained experience of the work of the Council. He has been a member of the Madras Legislative Council for a sufficiently long period to have gained experience of the work of the Council. He has been a member of the Madras Legislative Council for a sufficiently long period to have gained experience of the work of the Council.

Enclo 5

The case is posted for hearing before the Second Member, [illegible]

The petition by Rao Bahadur Nagappa Chettiar Kalli a member of the Nagarathar Community of the Madura District praying that he may be appointed to the Executive Council of Fort St. George

granted in the case is debris
of Services etc in the two families
Tirthakalie Mutt & that, as this harvest time
the authority of Sri Ramachandrapura Mutt
will as before appropriate the yield from
the Inam lands of the two families estimated
at 6 to 7 Thousands (Rs 6000/- & Rs 7000/-) & keeps
that the Stay order be cancelled
The Connected Review File
was Subd to the Second Member on 31.1.35
and if appd, This will be Subd at
the time of hearing today at 3 PM

Gs 2/2/35

Forwarded for kind perusal
2/2/35

II Member)

Jor 2/2/35

C 265/34-35
Guds 3

యిండార్సు

ఈ బిచ్చారప్ప సేద్దారద అవగాడనియల్లరు వదరింద
నిమ్మ అరదాలన్ను గానవమిట్టి తిళిసి కొళ్ళతక్కద్ది.
నిమ్మ అజ్ఞ మత్తె అదరింది హాజరు మాడిద
మల భూమి గళన్నిదర మురద బాబేసి మాడిరుత్తి. తిళియుప్రద

24-1-35.

Ramaiah 23/35
for Mysore Commissioner





మీకు కుశలం కలగాలని కోరుకుంటూ
మాంబోధించబడ్డ విషయమునకు సంబంధించిన
వ్రాయునది.



జిల్లా నంబరు, 1934-35

ఆజ్ఞాపత్రిక

కెల్దడుళ్ళ గ్రామవిభాగిస్తు నాగాభట్లు.
కెల్దరాజపుర శ్రీమన్మహాజనుల
యు కాయ్ని మొగడుమంత్రి
రచన్న —

ఎదురు అభిదారు
శ్రీమద్రావ్ చంద్రాబ్ధిరము
రచన శ్రీమాన్ మిగ్రేట్ గారు
రచన కాకుమాను రామచంద్రా
కురవేత

తేదీ, 11. 1. 1935.

అభిదారను వ్రాయ్ దీర్ఘకాలవ్యవధి నేమంబిరి —

(1) ఈ కెల్దరాజపుర మఠవు అనాదిండ కెల్దడుళ్ళివు
క్రమ ఈబ్రాంతద ఉపక్రమ మహాజనగళ గురుహిరియ నమాదిండు,
ఈ కెల్దడుళ్ళిరువ బాహ్మణ మహాజనగళిగే మంత్రోపదేశవన్నూ
మాడ్వ నవాధికారవు, పూర్వకాలదల్లి మహాజనగళు మఠక్కె
సాధ్యజనరిగె అందజిడబేడువ్యవహారక్కే ధర్మసంస్థెయాగి
రుత్తదే

(2) ఈ సంస్థెగె సంబంధవిల్లద రాయచంద్రా దుర్గక్కింత
మిగిలిరువ ఉంబళి కరదాదిండలూ ఈ మఠవన్న స్థాపిసి
నడెసికొండు బంద్ధవాగిరువ్వదక్కే ఇరువత్తు 6.7 నాణిర
రూపాయిగళన్న కొండుక్కి ఈ మఠదల్లి పూర్వపద్ధతియ
ప్రకారవాగి పూజా విధిగళన్న సరియాగి నడెసువుదే మల్లుండ
భూమిగలు మత్తిగళన్నెల్లా సాధిసికొండు దుడ్డోదిరువవర
నేకసంబంధ కుర్షి జమీనుగళన్నెల్లా కీలవధిగె కెండిరు
వెన్నిసి, ఈ విచారదల్లి ఈమొదలు ముజరాయిసువ్యవస్థెయ
రల్లి మీల్లుండ విచారగళన్నెల్లా విచ్ఛాపిసి కీలండిద్దరల్లిన
కోర్ధరదవరు విధ్యుక్తనాగళన్నెల్లా పరాంబరిసికొండిరువవర
చంద్రాపుర మఠద శ్రీమత్ రామచంద్రాపుర స్వామిగళవర స్థాపనదల్లిరువ్వ
కెల్దరాజపుర మఠవంత్తా ఇదర ఆధ్యాత్మవంనన్ను నద్దిరద
న్నూ దీర్ఘకాలదిండ తొగొండుబరుత్తిరువుదిల్లవాగి ఈ తీర్పు ఆగిరుత్తదే.

(3) ఈమేల్లాండ కుకర్మంపుక్కిరజాంరిలో కీలుదండకె న్నేలాద్ద
రాగి కోరిదాగి రామచంద్రాపుర మఠద శ్రీమత్ రామచంద్రా

వున్నదివరకే కోలుండి ధ్వరమీలోని స్వాధీనదిందనంబారు 28/8/34
తిది/34 గోస్వేలాధర్మరాజవరదాని కెల్దర్డళ్ళు వాల్మోక్షు
గుడ్ 44/తిది/34 నేడు ఇండ్లీదుకుంనాదరాని రంకేళ్ళ.

(4) ప్రక్రీత ఈవుర్ళ్ళ సంబంధదుష్పవేరిదుభాగాంవుళ్ళు, జమీంగళ్ళ కి. టాపో కాలవాద్దరింద మేల్లుండ స్వేలాధర్మరేఖాద్రా రద్దమీలి ఈకెల్దర్ రాజపుర వరదబాబుళ్ళు సావిరూ బ్యాగళ్ళు వ్వక్తింవున్న రావుళకంద్రా చోర వరదవరు. రేగదు కోలుండుకోలదువదరిందలూ, మరదల్లినడేలుంటకోడ్రాంది చు ఈ పూజా, చినింకోలద, కెడ్రుళ్ళ, కుందాజారగళ్ళనేమోం దరేంవాంది భూరిలేమోంబలుళగళ్ళ అన్నాక్రాంకెళ్ళే అవకాశ వాగిరుంకేళ.

(5) మేల్లుండమోందలనాలధర్మరేవ్రకారకుమరదలాభ్యుళ్ళవుం దేకప్పిపోలదిలకేందుకుళ్ళిదుస్నళ్ళదద్దకేత్రకారకేవరిగేమి మోగుసోద్ళ్ళళ్ళేకోళ్ళేశ్వరవళులజదళ్ళ, అయిపిన్నువళ్ళే రేగళ్ళనళ్ళి వనుళ్ళలుపాడికోలుంతరుజమోగేల్లూ బుంజ రుంబిల్లుళ్ళంకే పేక్రాతువోడుకెళ్ళిందిరువదాని కెళ్ళిదు కారుంకేళ.

(6) ఈమేల్లుండమిజారదళ్ళు కెల్దర్రాజపుర వరశ్రీవుళద శిష్యవుడాదళ్ళింవు కొన్నసిర్బాదు వుంతలిలిపవరు కెం11/11/934 రల్లునడిశిదమోం ఏంవుళమోం సుడ్రింస్వే కోమిందోలుంద గుళ్ళనేస్వీత్రాదానదవరాంబరికేబళ్ళే ఇదరకేలుందిగేడాజర్జుడి సారకెళ్ళ.

శ్రీవుర్ళ్ళనసర్కారదవరుంమేల్లుండవిజారగళ్ళనే లూ వరాంబరిసిడూంలిలుసిరకెళ్ళే స్వేలాధర్మరన్ను అమోం గసేళ్ళి మాండి మేల్లుకోత్రంరంకేళ్ళనాలుళ్ళవ్వక్తికే లాలూ డదుంకేసర్కారదవున్మదిగళకేసేడు కేలుళ్ళులు కుంలా గళుళ్ళేకొంది అజ్ఞీదారనబ్రార్థనే.

లగ్ను వతిసిరుగ్రహాలు:— మూరు

1 శిష్యరలుదనభేంయుకాంయుగని వోర్డరనమికాయ విశేషనళ్ళం యు కిమాగరదగ్రంథ - (కా 21. 11. 34). ర.

2 రిబ్బనభేంయు కా 18. 8. 34 రల్లు గోరద ప్రాసిడ్డింగ్సు

3 రబ్బనభేయు భ్రీలు కాపి ఇంకు,

ఈ 21-11-1934 నెంబరు వర్తమానము ప్రకారము

మహారాజశ్రీ పూర్ణయ్యరాజా బహద్దరు వారి సంస్థానమునకు దివాన్ గిరీ చేయుటకు ఎంపిక చేయబడిన

విషయము చెప్పుటకు సభ ఏర్పాటు చేయబడినది.

ఈ సభకు ఆహ్వానింపబడి విచ్చేసిన సభ్యులు:— రా. రా. వేంకటపతి పుట్టబ్బ గారు బి.ఎ.బి.ఎల్.

" సంగీతము వేంకటాచలం గారు

" లక్ష్మీనరసింహము గారు

" వేంకటరమణ శివరాంపు గారు

" బాలవీరభద్రస్వామి గారు

" మొక్కపాటి వేంకటసుబ్బయ్యగారు

ఈవిధముగా ఆహ్వానింపబడిన సభ్యులు అందరు వచ్చిరి. వారందరు ఈ క్రింది విధముగా తీర్మానించిరి.

1 — — — — — —

2 — — — — — —

3 తిరుపతి రాజా మహాదేవ వారికి ఈ సభ వారు ఈ సంస్థానమునకు దివాన్ గిరీ చేయుటకు ఎంపిక చేయుటకు పూర్తి అధికారమును ఇచ్చుచున్నది. వారు ఈ సంస్థానమునకు దివాన్ గిరీ చేయుటకు ఒప్పుకొనిన యెడల వారికి నెలకు రూపాయలు (6000-7000) వేతనముగా ఇచ్చుటకు ఈ సభ వారు నిశ్చయించినది. వారు ఈ సంస్థానమునకు దివాన్ గిరీ చేయుటకు ఒప్పుకొనిన యెడల వారికి ఈ సంస్థానమునకు సంబంధించిన అన్ని అధికారములు

ಅಸಿ ಬಿರುವಂಶ ಶೆ ಮೂ ತುವ ಮೆ ಬ್ಯಾಡಿ ನಲ್ಲಿರು ಪ್ರದಾನಿ ಸ್ಥಳವಿಸ್ಸಾವೂ ನ
ಯುಬರುತ್ತೆ. ಇದುಶಿ ಎಂಬು ತೀರ್ಥದ ಅಭಿಮತ ಬಲ್ಲಿರಾ ಳ್ಳ
ಸಾರ ಚೆಳ್ಳ ಚೀತರಣಾ ವೂ ನುಸಳ್ಳು ವುಳ್ಳು ಶಂಚಾಂತ್ರಿ ವಸ್ಸಿನಿ ಶಿ
ರಸೂಕ್ತನಳ್ಳನ್ನುಪಡಿಸು ವಶಿನಿ ಶಲಾಬವೂ ತಿರುಪ್ಪೆದುತಿ ಬಾಣ
ಬಾಂಡಿ ಬಳ್ಳಿದಿರುಪ್ರದನ್ನು ವಹಾ ಶಲಾಬವೂ ತಂತ್ರಿ.

ಈ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಶಾಶ್ವತ ಸಳ್ಳಾರಿಯಾಲ್ಲಿ ಅನಿಶಕ್ತಿಸ್ಥಿ
ತಿಶನ್ನು ತದ್ದು ವುತಿನಿ 1834-ನೆ ಸಾಲಿನ ಮಾರ್ಚಿ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಿಂದುಮ್ಮ ಮುಖ ರಾಯಿಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅದೂಲ್ ಮಂತಿನಿ
ಪರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಮಾನತ್ತು ಇಗಿರುವಂತೆಯೂ ಹದರಿ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ
ಇನ್ನುಳಿದಷಿಂದಿನಿ ವಸ್ಸಿನಿಚರಸೂಕ್ತನಳ್ಳು ಶಲಾಬಲಷರಂತೆ ಬಂಡಿ
ಬಸ್ಕ್ಯ ವುಂಡಿದುವಂತೆಂದೂ ಧೃತರಾಂ ಆನುವಂತೆ ಪಾಂಡವ್ಯ ಮ
ಜರಾಂಯಶವಿಷನ ಕೆಸಾಡಿ ಬರವರಲ್ಲಿ ಶೀಲಿಸಿಯ್ಯಬೇಕೆಂದು ಶ
ಹಾ ಹೀ ಮುಂದಿನಿಷಲಾಂಯಿತು-

(1) ಹಾಲು ಅನಿಶಕ್ತಿಸ್ಥಿ ತೀ ಅರ್ಥಶನ್ನು ಆಮೂ ನತ್ತು ವುತಿದಲಿ ಬಿ
ಜುವಲ್ಲುವಡರ ಮನೆಸಳ್ಳನ್ನು ನತಿಕಂಟಾವುಡಾಕಬೇಕೆಂಬುದಾಗಿ
ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದು ಶ್ರೀ ತೀರ್ಥದಹಳ್ಳಿ ಬಾ ಕೆಳಿಕೆ
ಸಾ ಕಾಭ ಬ್ರಿಟರವರು ಪ್ರತಿಂತ್ರರಾಗಿ ನತಿಷಕ್ತಿಸ್ಥಿ ಬೆಂದು ಹಾರ್ಡ್
ಪಲಾಂಯಿತು. ------ -- ------ ----

ಪಾಲು ಅನಿಶಕ್ತಿಸ್ಥಿ ತೀ ಅರ್ಥದರ್ಶಿ ವಿಚಾರ
ದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲಾರ್ಡ್ ನೆಲ್ಸನ್ ರಾಂಯಶವಿಷನ್
ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ್ಕ್ಕೆ ಅಬಿಪ್ರಸ್ಥಿಶಿಸಿಂಟ್ಟು
ಪ್ರತಿಪಡಿಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ವಿಚಾರ

(ಸಹಿ) ತೀರ್ಥಾಮೃತ ಪಳಸ್ಥಂಡಿ
" ಅಶ್ವತ್ಥನಾರಾಯಣಪ್ಪ
" ಬಿ. ಸಾ. ಸಾ. ಭಟ್ಟ
" ಚಿಕ್ಕಬೈರೇಗೌಡ
" ಶಂಕರಾಯರು ಸೀತಾಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಭಟ್ಟ

(ಸಹಿ) ತೀರ್ಥಾಮೃತ ಪಳಸ್ಥಂಡಿ
ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷ

ಗಾಕಲ್ಲಿನಿಪರಿಯಂದನಷಲಿಸಿದಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಶಂಕರಾಯರು ಸೀತಾಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಭಟ್ಟ
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ

ತಾ. 18—8—1934ನೆಯ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 4 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದ

ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಶಿಷ್ಯಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ

ವಿಶೇಷ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡೆವಳಿಕೆ.

ವಿಷಯ.	ತೀರ್ಮಾನ.
ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠವನ್ನು ಅದರ ಆಡಳಿತ ಸಮೇತ ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರಾಪುರ ಮಠದ ಶ್ರೀ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿರುವ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ.	ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಕೇಳಲಾಯ್ತು. ಇದರ ಕ್ರಮ ವಿವರವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಯಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ವಿವರಗಳು ಹೇಗೇ ಇರಲಿ! ಪ್ರಕೃತ 1930ನೆಯ ಮೇ 10ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ (ಹವ್ಯಕ) ಶಿಷ್ಯಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ತೀರ್ಮಾನದಂತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಸುಮಾರು 1910ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಿಂದಲೂ ಈ ಪ್ರಾಂತದ ಹವ್ಯಕ ಮಹಾಜನಗಳು ಆಗಾಗ ಸಭೆಸೇರಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ— **ಈ ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠವು ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಾಂತದ ಹವ್ಯಕ ಜನಗಳ ಗುರುಪೀಠ. ಈ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಅನಾದಿಯಾಗಿ ಗುರುಪರಂಪರೆಯು ನಡೆದು ಬಂದಿದೆ. 1867ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯ ಅನಂತರ ಇದು ನಿಂತಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆಗ ಈ ಮಠವು ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಮಹಾಜನಗಳ ಆಡಳಿತದಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು. ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರಾಪುರ ಮಠದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳಿಗೂ, ಈ ಮಠಕ್ಕೂ ಯಾವ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಲಿ, ಧಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಲಿ, ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಹಕ್ಕಾಗಲಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ.—** ಈ ಮುಂತಾಗಿ ನಡೆದು ಬಂದ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈಗಲೂ ಆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಹಕ್ಕುದಾರಿಯೂ ಈ ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠಕ್ಕೇ ಖಾಯಂ ಆಗಿ ಉಳಿದು, ಈ ಮಠವು ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಹವ್ಯಕ ಜನಾಂಗದ ಮತಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ನೀತಿ ನಿಬಂಧನೆಗಳ ಮೂಲಸ್ಥಳವಾಗಿ, ಈ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಆಶೋತ್ತರದಂತೆ **ಅತಿ ಜರೂರಾಗಿ ಇವರಿಂದ ಆರಿಸಲ್ಪಡುವವರಿಗೆ ಆಶ್ರಮವಾಗತಕ್ಕ ಅವಕಾಶವಿರಬೇಕು.** ಈ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೂ, ಇಂಥ ಅನಾದಿ ಮತ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಒಂದು ಧರ್ಮಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಉತ್ತಾರ ಮತ್ತು ದೇವಾಲಯಗಳಗೋಸ್ಕರ ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ನಿಯಮದಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ತಮ್ಮ ವಶ ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ **ಈ ಮಠದ ಶಿಷ್ಯಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಅನೂಚೀನವಾಗಿ ನಡೆದು ಬಂದ ಮತಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ನಿಯಮಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾಲಿನ್ಯವು ಬರಬಾರದು** ಎಂಬ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದಲೂ, ಈ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ನಾವು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯನ್ನೂ, ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯ ಅಮಲನ್ನೂ ಜೊತೆಗೊಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.
ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ವ್ಯಾಪನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಸರು.	ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ ಕಸಬಾ ತುಂಗಾನದಿಯ ಎಡಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿರುವ **ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ ಮರ** ಎಂದು ವಾಡಿಕೆಯಾಗಿ ಕರೆಯಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತಿರುವ **ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹ ದೇವರು** ಮತ್ತು ಇದೇ ಕಸಬಾ ಕುರುವಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನದಿಯ ಬಲದಂಡೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಎದುರಾಗಿರುವ **ಗಂಗಾವಿಶ್ವೇಶ್ವರ ದೇವರು** ಈ ಉಭಯ ದೇವರು ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಿತವಾಗಿರುವ **ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳೂ, ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸ್ಥಿರ ಚರ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳೂ, ಹಕ್ಕುದಾರಿಗಳೂ ಸೇರಿದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸ್ವಾಸ್ಥ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನವು ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠವೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಧಾರವಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯವು ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನ ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ ಶಾಸನಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ದೃಢಪಡುತ್ತದೆ.** ಈ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರಾಪುರ ಮಠವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಲು ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣವೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಇದು ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾದರೂ ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರಾಪುರ ಮಠ ಎಂದು ಸೇರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೆ ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠ ಎಂದು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ವಿನಂತಿಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತದೆ.
ಮೂಡಗೆರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ರುದ್ರಪಾದ ಮಠ.	ಮೂಡಗೆರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ರುದ್ರವಾದದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀ ರುದ್ರಪಾದ ಮಠವೂ, ಅದರ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳೂ ಈ ಜನಾಂಗದವರಿಗೇ ಸೇರಿ ಈ ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲಿಂದಲೂ ಇದ್ದಂತೆ ಈಗಲೂ ಆ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇದರ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದರ ಮೂಲಕ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಜೀರ್ಣೋದ್ಧಾರ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಅತ್ಯಾವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಸ್ವಾಸ್ಥ್ಯಗಳ ಭಾಗವಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಕೋರುತ್ತದೆ.
ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಅಶ್ರಮ ವಿಚಾರ.	ಬಹುಕಾಲದಿಂದಲೂ ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಸನ್ಯಾಸವನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಗುರುಪರಂಪರೆಯು ನಡೆದು ಬಂದಿದ್ದು, ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪ, ನರಸಿಂಹರಾಜಪುರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೂಡಗೆರೆ ಈ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹವ್ಯಕ ದ್ರಾವಿಡ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರ ಮತಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಆಚಾರ ವಿಚಾರಗಳಿಗೆ ಇದು ಮೂಲಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಶಿಷ್ಯಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಹಿಂದಿನ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳಂತೆ ಅವರ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಚುನಾಯಿಸಲ್ಪಡುವವರಿಗೆ ಆಶ್ರಮ ಕೊಡಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅನುಮತಿಯು ದೊರೆಯುವಂತೆ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ನಿರ್ಣೈಸಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಇದನ್ನು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಉತ್ತಾರ ಅಥವಾ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿರಿಸದೆ ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠವೆಂದು ಅಂಗೀಕಾರಮಾಡಿ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ಇದರ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸ್ಥಿರ ಚರ ಆಸ್ತಿ ವಿಭಾಗಗಳನ್ನೂ, ಇದಕ್ಕಿರುವ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನೂ, ಈ ಪ್ರಾಂತದ ಹವ್ಯಕ ಜನಾಂಗದ ಧರ್ಮಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕಿಸಿ ಇಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಸರ್ಕಾರವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಲು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಯು ತಾ. 28—5—'34ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಶಿಷ್ಯಮಹಾಸಭೆಯಿಂದ ಆರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹ ಮಂಡಳಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೂ, ಇಂತಹ ವ್ಯವಹರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅಧಿಕೃತವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೂ, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿನ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬನೂ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಶಃ ಜನಾಂಗದ ಅನುರಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ವಾತ್ರನಾಗಿದ್ದು ಇವರ ಈ ಸಮಷ್ಟಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಯು ಜನಾಂಗದ ಜವಾಬುದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೂ, **ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಲೌಕಿಕ ವೈದಿಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಯಿಸಲು ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಯು ಸರ್ವ ವಿಧದಿಂದಲೂ ಕರ್ತನಾದುದೆಂದು ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯು ಒಪ್ಪಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೂ, ಈ ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಪೂರ್ಣ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಿಂದ ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಗೇ ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದು ಯುಕ್ತವಾಗಿದೆ.** ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಗುರುಗಳು ಏರ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಅವರು ಪ್ರಬುದ್ಧಾವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಬಂದು ಸುವ್ಯವಸ್ಥಿತ ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ಆಡಳಿತ ನಡೆಸಬಹುದಾಗಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುವ ಪರಿಯಂತರ ಅಥವಾ ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಯು ಸುವ್ಯವಸ್ಥಿತರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಲಾರದೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಧರವಾಗುವ ವರೆಗೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲ್ತನಿಖೆಗೆ ಒಳಪಡಿಸಿ **ಈ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು** ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಗೆ ಅತಿ ಜರೂರಾಗಿ ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತದೆ.

ಆಡಳಿತ.

ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಈಗಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯರು.

1. **ತೆಂಗಿನಕೆರೆ ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯಭಟ್ಟರು,** (ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.)
2. **ಗೋಪಾಲಕೃಷ್ಣಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳು,** (ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು.)
3. **ಹರಿಹರಪುರದ ನಾಗಪ್ಪಯ್ಯ.**
4. **ಆಗುಂಬೆ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶಭಟ್ಟರು.**
5. **ಬಬ್ಬಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶಯ್ಯನವರು.**
6. **ಅತ್ತಿಗದ್ದೆ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪನವರು.**
7. **ಹುಲುಕೋಡು ಅಣ್ಣಯ್ಯಭಟ್ಟರು.**
8. **ಭಾವಿಕಟ್ಟೆ ನಾಗಾಭಟ್ಟರು.**
9. **ತೆಂಗಿನಮನೆ ಶಿವರಾಮಭಟ್ಟರು.**

ಈ ಮರದ ಶಿಷ್ಯಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಇಚ್ಛೆ ಮತ್ತು ರೀತಿ ನೀತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ತರುವದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ನಿಬಂಧನಾವಳಿಗಳ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅದನ್ನು ಅವಗಾಹಿಸಿ ಜನಾಂಗದ ಧಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆಗೆ ಕುಂದುಂಟಾಗದಂತೆ ನಡೆಯಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶಿಷ್ಯಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ತರುವುದು.

ಹೀಗೆ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಿ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಲಾಯ್ತು.

ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು.

1. **ತೆಂಗಿನಕೆರೆ ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯಭಟ್ಟರು.**
2. **ಕವುಕೋಡು ಗೋಪಾಲಕೃಷ್ಣಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳು.**
3. **ಭಾವಿಕಟ್ಟೆ ನಾಗಾಭಟ್ಟರು.**
4. **ಮುಕುತಿ ಹರಿಹರಪುರದ ನಾಗಪ್ಪಯ್ಯ.**
5. **ಅತ್ತಿಗದ್ದೆ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪನವರು.**
6. **ಬಬ್ಬಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶಯ್ಯನವರು.**

18—8—1934,
ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ.

ರಾಧಾಕೃಷ್ಣಮುದ್ರಾಮಂದಿರ, ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ.

ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ
ಶಿಷ್ಯಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಉಪನಿಬಂಧನೆಗಳು.

೧ ರಚನೆ.

ಹೆಸರು. ತಾ. 10—5—1930ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ನಡೆಯಿಸಿದ ಹವ್ಯಕ ಜನಾಂಗದ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ನಿರ್ಣಯದಂತೆ ಈ ಮಹಾ ಸಭೆಗೆ **"ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಶಿಷ್ಯ ಮಹಾಸಭೆ"** ಎಂಬ ಹೆಸರಿರುತ್ತದೆ

ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿ. ಈ ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಶಿಷ್ಯಮಹಾ ಸಭೆಗೆ ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪ, ನರಸಿಂಹರಾಜಪುರ, ಮೂಡ ಗೆರೆ ಈ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಿಗೆ ವ್ಯಾವನೆ ಯಿರುತ್ತದೆ

ಸದಸ್ಯತನ. ಈ ಹಿಂದಿನ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳಂತೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹವ್ಯಕ ಸಮಾಜದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮನೆತನದವರೂ ಪ್ರಬುದ್ಧರೂ (ವಯಸ್ಸು ಬಂದು ವ್ಯವಹಾರ ನಡೆಯಿಸುವ ಅರ್ಹತೆಯುಳ್ಳವರು) ಈಮಹಾಸಭೆಗೆ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವಿಕೆ. ಇತರ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿನ ಹವ್ಯಕ ಸಮಾಜದ ಒಂದು ಮನೆತನದವರಾಗಲೀ ಒಬ್ಬ ಪ್ರಬುದ್ಧನಾಗಲೀ ಈ ಮಹಾ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯನಾಗಲು ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಧನ ರೂ. 0—4—0 ಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ನಿಯಮಿತವಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಭರ್ತಿಮಾಡಿ ಕಳಿಸಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಕಾರ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಾಹ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಒಪ್ಪುಗೆಯಿಂದ ಅಂತಹವರೂ ಈ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಕಾರ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಾಹ ಮಂಡಲಿ. ಸಮಾಜದ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸುಗಮವಾಗಿ ಸಾಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯವರಿಂದ ಆರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಒಂದು ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹ ಮಂಡಲಿಯು ಇರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಈ ಮಂಡ ಲಿಯು ಆ ಆ ಪ್ರಾಂತ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಕೂಡಿರತಕ್ಕ

ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗಾಗಿ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಮಂಡಲಿಯು ಉಚಿತವಾದ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಮುಂಬರಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಸಮಾಜ ಸಹಾಯಕರು ಮತ್ತು ದಾತೃಗಳು:-

ಧನ ಸಹಾಯವು ಎಲ್ಲ ಇತರ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಂತೆ ಸಮಾಜೋನ್ನತಿಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೂ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಧನ ಅಥವಾ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ರೂಪವಾಗಿ ಸಮಾಜಕ್ಕೆ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಲಿಚ್ಛಿಸುವ ದಾತೃಗಳಿಂದ ಅವರು ಮಾಡುವ ದಾನವನ್ನು ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯು ಅಭಿನಂದನಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುವುದು.

ಸದಸ್ಯತನದ ಕಾಣಿಕೆ.

ಸಂಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿದ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಉಚಿತ ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ಕಡೆಹಾಯಿಸುವುದು ಎಲ್ಲರ ಬದ್ಧ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮಹಾ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ವರ್ಷ ಒಂದರ 2 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕಾಣಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಮಹಾಸಭೆಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಆಯಾ ಸಂವತ್ಸರಗಳ ಮಾಘ ಬಹುಳ ಅಮಾವಾಸ್ಯೆಯೇ ಈ ಸಂದಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕಡೆಯ ದಿವಸವಾಗಿರುವುದು.

ಸಮಾಜ ದಕ್ಷಿಣೆ:

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡಂತೆ ಚೂಡಾಕರ್ಮಾದಿ ಮಂಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಾಜದಕ್ಷಿಣೆಯನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದೆಂದು ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಲಗ್ನದಲ್ಲಿ ವರನಕಡೆಯವರು ನಾಲ್ಕು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು, ವಧುವಿನ ಕಡೆಯವರು ಎರಡು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು, ಹೀಗೆಯೇ ಚೂಡಾಕರ್ಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು, ಉಪನಯನದಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಹೀಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು

೪ ಇತರ ವಿಷಯಗಳು.

ಮೂಲಧನ ಮತ್ತು ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ವಿನಿಯೋಗ.

ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿರುವ ಸಾದಿಲ್ವಾರ್ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳ ಹೊರತು ಮಿಗುವ ಧನವು ಸಮಾಜದ ಒಂದು ಆಶ್ರಮಕ್ಕೂ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಸಮಾಜೋನ್ನತಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕವಾಗುವಂತಹ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳ ರಚನೆ ಪ್ರಬಂಧ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಾದಿಗಳಿಗೂ ವಿನಿಯೋಗವಾಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಅಧಿನಿವೇಶನ.

ಈ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೊಮ್ಮೆ ಕೂಡುವುದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೊಮ್ಮೆ ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಸೇರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ವರ್ಷಂಪ್ರತಿ ವೈಶಾಖ ಶುದ್ಧ ಚತುರ್ದಶಿ ಮತ್ತು ಹುಣ್ಣಿಮೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದಲ್ಲಿ ನೈಮಿತ್ತಿಕ ವಿಶೇಷೋತ್ಸವವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ದಿನವೇ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯು ನೆರೆಯತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಯುಕ್ತವಾಗಿದೆ.

ವಿಶೇಷ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಸೇರುವಿಕೆ.

ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ವಿಶೇಷ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಕೂಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಒಟ್ಟು ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಮೂರರಲ್ಲೊಂದು ಪಾಲು ಜನರು ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯು (Extro-ordinary) ನೆರೆಯತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯು ಮೂರುವಾರ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರ ತಿಳಿವಳಿಕೆಗೂ ತಂದಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ವರದಿಗಳು.

ಆ ಆ ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯಿಸಿದ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಕಲಾಪಗಳು, ವ್ಯವಹರಣೆ, ಆದಾಯ ವ್ಯಯ ಮುಂತಾದುವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಮುಖ್ಯಾಂಶಗಳನ್ನೊಳಗೊಂಡ ಒಂದು ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಮಂಡಲಿಯು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಗೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಉಪಸಭೆಯವರೂ ತಮ್ಮ ತಮ್ಮಗೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿದ ಭಾಗಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ತೆರದ ವರದಿಯೊಂದನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿ ಮೂರು ತಿಂಗಳುಗಳಿಗೊಮ್ಮೆ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹ ಮಂಡಲಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ತಾ. 10—5—1930ನೇ ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಶಿಷ್ಯ ಮಹಾಸಭಾ ನಿರ್ಣಯದಂತೆ "ಉಪಸಭೆಯವರು" ರಚಿಸಿರುವ ಈ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಉಪನಿಬಂಧನೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ಅವು ತಾ. 27—7—30ನೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಮಂಡಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗೀಕೃತವಾಗಿ ಪುನಃ ತಾ. 9—8—30ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ವಿಶೇಷಾಧಿನಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗೀಕೃತವಾಗಿರುವುದೆಂಬ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳನ್ನೂ ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಮುಂದೆ ನನ್ನ ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು (ರುಜುವನ್ನು) ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತೀರ್ಥರಾಜಪುರ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಶಿಷ್ಯ ಮಹಾಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು.

1 B N. Bhatt
2 ಬಬ್ಬಿ ಗಣೇಶಯ್ಯನ ರುಜು.
3 ಕಂಕೋಡು ಗೋಪಾಲಕೃಷ್ಣಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ
4 ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಯ್ಯ ಗಣವಳ್ಳಿ
5 ತಾರಿಕೊಡಿಗೆ ನಾಗಪ್ಪಯ್ಯನ ರುಜು
6 ಬಿ. ಮಂಜಭಟ್ಟ ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ
7 ತೀ. ಬಾ. ಶಾಂಭಟ್ಟನರುಜು
8 K. Narasimha Sastry
9 ತಾರಿಕೊಡಿಗೆ
ಶಂಕರನಾರಾಯಣಯ್ಯ
10 ಕಮಕಾರು ಶೇಷಗಿರಿಯಪ್ಪ
11 ಎ. ನಾಗಾಭಟ್ಟ ಗುಡ್ಡೇಪಾಲು
12 H R Nagendra Sastry
13 ಎಂ ಸುಬ್ರಾಯ ಭಟ್ಟ
14 ಅತ್ತಿಗದ್ದೆ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ
15 ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರಭಟ್ಟ ಹಣ್ಣೇಗಿರಿ
16 ಟಿ. ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಭಟ್ಟ ಹಣ್ಣೇಗಿರಿ
17 ತಿಮ್ಮಣ್ಣಭಟ್ಟ ಹಣ್ಣೇಗಿರಿ
18 ಎ. ಸುಬ್ರಾಯ ಹುಲ್ಲುಕೋಡು
19 ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಹುಲ್ಲುಕೋಡು
20 ಕೆ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ ಭಟ್ಟ
21 ಕೆ. ಗುಂಡುಭಟ್ಟ
22 K. Manjappa
23 ತಲೆಯಬ್ಬಿ ಕೃಷ್ಣಭಟ್ಟ.
24 ಬೊಬ್ಬಿ ಕೃಷ್ಣಯ್ಯ
25 ಸಾತುಗೋಡು
ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಯ್ಯ
26 ಪಿ ಅಪ್ಪಣ್ಣಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ
27 ಪಿ. ವೆಂಕಟರಾಮಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ
28 ಬೊಬ್ಬಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶಯ್ಯ
29 ಕಂಕೋಡು ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿರುಜು
30 ಹೆಚ್. ಕೊಲ್ಲೂರ ಭಟ್ಟ
31 ಹೆಚ್. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರಭಟ್ಟ
ತೆಂಗಿನಕೆರೆ.
32 ಬಿ. ರಾಮಸ್ವಾಮೈಯ್ಯ ತೂದೂರು
33 ತೆಂಗಿನಕೆರೆ ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ ಭಟ್ಟ
34 ಕೆ. ವೆಂಕಟರಾವ್
35 ಬಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶಯ್ಯ ಹೊಸ್ತೋಟ
36 ಕುರುನಕಟ್ಟೆ ಶಾಮಯ್ಯ
37 ಕೆ. ರಾಮಪ್ಪ
38 ಅತ್ತಿಗದ್ದೆ ನಾರ್ಣಪ್ಪ
39 ಅಡುವಳ್ಳಿ ಸೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣ
ಜೋಯಿಸ್
40 B Venkatesha Bhatta

ಷ್ಣ ಮುದ್ರಾಮಂದಿರ, ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. Dated

Huzrai Review No.9 of 1934-35.

V. Ananthu Bhatta, Agent,
ri Ramachandrapur Mutt
of Sagar. | Revie[illegible] No. 9.

SUBJECT.

Request for a review of the Government [illegible] -/0/
Huz.258-33-2 dated 11th July 1934 pas[illegible] of Sri [illegible] the
Sri Ramachandrapur Mutt at Sagar of the [illegible] of Sri
Sirthahalli Mutt, the two temples viz., of Sri Sridhareshwara
Swami at Sirthahalli and Sri Raveshwaraswami at Kuruvalli,
Sirthahalli Taluk and the Inam lands enjoyed thereto or per-
taining the assumption of the [illegible] by the Government.

The case briefly stated is that [illegible]
The petitioner [illegible] Sri [illegible]
Devasthanams, [illegible] of the Malnad Area.

ORDER.

The petition is for a review of the Government order
dated the 11th July 1934 whereby the Government sanctioned
the recommendations of the Huzrai Commissioner to the effect
that Sri Ramachandrapur Mutt may be given control of the
affairs of Sri Sirthahalli Mutt, the two temples viz., and the Inam lands
enjoyed thereto are likewise that the same may be given
Government. So far as the restoration of the two temples and
the Inam lands enjoyed by them is concerned, there have been
no sufficient grounds put forward in the petition to enable
to review the Government order referred

resumption of Sri Tirthahalli Mutt and the lands appurte-
hereto, it is contended that no enquiry as to the
under Sections 26 and 27 of the Mysore Religious
Institutions Regulation was made and that opportu-
tunities were given, as mandamus requires, to
show that there is no mis-management. This
this contention. The portion of the order
No.38-40/Muz.288-33-4 dated 11th July 1934 relating to
resumption of Sri Tirthahalli Mutt and the lands
and the remaining portion of the order relating
to the temples is confirmed.

86/Mcy 286-34-b. 2-8-35.
Cly to K. Anantha Bhatta C/o Mr.
B. Vasudeva Moorthy Bar-at-Law.
Advocate for the revision petitioner

By order

for Govt. Secretary to Govt.
Gs

87/Inds 142 f.le. 2-8-35.
Cly to m.c. for necessary action.
The records received with this letter
No C 265/34-35 dt 25/10/34 are
herewith returned. The stay order
issued in this office letter no 241
dt 25/8/34 is withdrawn.

By order

for Govt. Secretary to Govt.

88 § 2-8-35.

Cdg to the Comptroller Belgique

Muz. 21]

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

T. edus

No. Dated

Muz Review ~~Appeal~~ No. 9 of 1934-35

Review Petitioner
~~Appellant.~~ ~~Vs.~~ ~~Respondent.~~

R. Ananthra Bhatta
Agent - Sri Ramachandrapura Mutt of Nagar -

Subject.

Request for a review of the G.O no 38-40/Muz
dismissing the
£ 288-33-2 of 11.7.34 passed ~~directing the removal from office of~~
~~Executive Officer~~ Sri Ramachandrapura Mutt
at Nagar of the Management of Sri
Tirthahalli Mutt, the two temples Viz.
of Sri Lakshminarasimha Swami at Tirthahalli
and Sri Vireshwara Swami at Kumsi,
Tirthahalli Taluq and Inam lands
endowed thereto. ~~Ordering the restoration~~
Step of ~~the Management of the~~ Same by Govt.

~~The Chairman~~ Presiding ~~on~~ Revision Petition

WD 0580—GPB—250—10-5-22

the 2nd Feby 1935. The Stpr review pet^r
Counsel Mr B. Vasudeva Mooky for the petitioners
above heard

order

The pet^r is for a review of the Govt order of the
H.C. of 1936 whereby Stpd that Govt Sanctioned Stpr
Stpr the recommendations of the Mot. to the effect Stpr
that Sri Ramachandraswami Mutt may be divested
of the Management of Sri Tirthakalli Mutt,
the two temples and the Inam lands
endowed thereto & divested that the same may
be resumed by Govt. So far as the resumption
of the two temples & the Inam lands
endowed thereto is concerned there has Stpr
been no Stpr sufficient grounds, but
forwarded by the pet^rs Counsel to review
the Govt order refd to — As regards the
resumption of Sri Tirthakalli Mutt
and the lands appurtenant thereto, it
is contended that no enquiry as that
contemplated in under Sec^ns 26 & 27 of the
Mysore R & Gfs: Mutts
Admn. Reg^n was made & that of further
opportunities were given, Sri Ramachandra
Mutt would attempt to show that

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

There is some force in this contention - The portion of the said Govt. order No. 38-40 Mis 288 of 1931-34 dt 11.7.1934 relating to the resumption of Sri Tirumakkudi Mettu and lands is cancelled & the other remaining portion of the Govt order relating to the temples is confirmed

Mis 286-34-6
8-6 2-8-35

Copy to R. Anantha Bhattar, c o/o Copy to Mrs B Vasudeva Moorthy Advt for the R. Advocate for the review petitioner,

D O

V. I. for Gen Sec.

87 Inc 1+2 files 2-8-35

Copy w.m.e. for necessary action. The records received with the letter dt. 25/10/34 are returned -

25/10/35 D O

1/7 for Gen Sec.

88 g 2-8-35.